

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/920,966	HORIGUCHI, MASUYO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thjuan P. Knowlin	2642	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Thjuan P. Knowlin.

(3) George Beck RN 38072

(2) Ahmad MATAR

(4) Masaaki Sobue

Date of Interview: 7/19/05

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. N/A  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 1

Identification of prior art discussed: Chishaki et al. (US 6,252,949) & Kim (U.S. 6,560,467)

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: \_\_\_\_\_

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

The amendment filed 6/24/05 was discussed and it appears to overcome the combination of Chishaki and Kim. Examiners explained that some terms such as "transmitters" are uncommon. Also, "searching for said incoming call" is unclear. It appears that ~~the~~ "main" issue, which is the use of "distinctive ringing" in association with "missed calls" (call that have "not yet been responded to"), remains unclear in the claims. The "main" issue above is as considered by Examiners.

am  
7/19/05

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

A. Matar  
Examiner's signature, if required